APEC 8001: Recitation notes 4*

Julieth Santamaria

September 28, 2017

1 EV, CV, DWL - Taxes

• Equivalent variation: Change in consumer's wealth that would be equivalent to the price change in terms of its welfare impact.

$$EV = e(p^{0}, u^{1}) - e(p^{0}, u^{0})$$

= $e(p^{0}, u^{1}) - w$
= $\int_{p_{1}^{1}}^{p_{1}^{0}} h_{1}(p_{1}, \bar{p}_{-1}, u^{1}) dp_{1}$

• Compensating variation: Net revenue of a planner who must compensate the consumer for the price change after it occurs, bringing her back to her original utility u^0

$$CV = e(p^{1}, u^{1}) - e(p^{1}, u^{0})$$

= $w - e(p^{1}, u^{0})$
= $\int_{p_{1}^{1}}^{p_{1}^{0}} h_{1}(p_{1}, \bar{p}_{-1}, u^{0}) dp_{1}$

If EV, CV < 0, the consumer will be worse off after the price change.

• Deadweight loss (DWL): It measures the extra amount by which the consumer is made worse off. When comparing taxation on one good compared to a lump-sum tax it is measured as follows:

In terms of EV, the consumer is worse off under a commodity tax if -T > EV

$$DWL = w - T - e(p^{0}, u^{1})$$

= $\int_{p_{1}^{0}}^{p_{1}^{0}+t} \left[h_{1}(p_{1}, \bar{p}_{-1}, u^{1}) - h_{1}(p_{1}^{0}+t, \bar{p}_{-1}, u^{1}) \right] dp_{1}$

^{*}Based on lecture notes and other material by Paul Glewwe. Some examples and exercises come from Mas-Colell, A., Whinston, M. D., and Green, J. R. (1995). Microeconomic theory. New York: Oxford university press.

In terms of CV, the consumer is worse off under a commodity tax if -CV > T

$$DWL = e(p^{1}, u^{0}) - w - T$$

=
$$\int_{p_{1}^{0}}^{p_{1}^{0}+t} \left[h_{1}(p_{1}, \bar{p}_{-1}, u^{0}) - h_{1}(p_{1}^{0}+t, \bar{p}_{-1}, u^{0}) \right] dp_{1}$$

Note, size of CV, EV and AV depend on the type of good

- Normal good: EV > AV > CV
- Inferior food: EV < AV < CV

Exercises:

1. Suppose the government is considering imposing a commodity tax of t on either x_1 or x_2 , and the indirect utility function is

$$v(p,w) = \frac{w^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}}{P_1^{\alpha_1} P_2^{\alpha_2}}$$

where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in R_+$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 > 0$. Derive the conditions under which the consumer would be strictly better off with a commodity tax t on good 1 instead of good 2 using the Equivalent Variation (EV).

2. Consider a situation where the government is deciding between imposing a commodity tax of t on good 1 and imposing a lump-sum tax T on consumer's wealth that yields the same amount ot total tax revenue. Suppose the Hicksian demand function of good 1 is

$$h_1(p,u) = u\left(\frac{p_1}{\alpha}\right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{p_2}{1-\alpha}\right)^{1-\alpha}$$

with $p_1, p_2, u > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Derive the expression of the deadweight loss of commodity tax using the EV.